

Data and monitoring on road safety performance in Hungary

Prof. Dr. Péter Holló

KTI Institute for Transport Sciences Non-Profit Ltd research professor Széchenyi István University university professor

4th IRTAD CONFERENCE

Road safety data: collection and analysis for target setting and monitoring performances and progress

Seoul, 16-17 September 2009

Content

- 1. The system of data collection
- 2. Safety belt wearing rates
 - 2.1. Hungarian data
 - 2.2. International comparison
- 3. Safety of children vehicle-occupants
- 4. Remaining safety potential of safety belt wearing in Hungary
- 5. Daytime Running Lights (DRL)
- 6. Conclusions and recommendations

1. The system of data collection

- from 1992 onwards, carried out by the TÜV NORD-KTI Kft.
- yearly sample size > 10.000 motor vehicles (passenger cars, minibuses, small vans) (Categories M1 and N1)
- sample size by road types > 3000 motor vehicles (country roads, motorways, roads inside built-up areas)
- survey of the safety belt wearing and DRL usage rates carried out in combination
- always in the same period of the year (May, June)

1. The system of data collection /2

Both rates (safety belt wearing and DRL usage) are behaviour related

They can be influenced by

- education, awareness campaigns
- police enforcement

Hungary has relatively long time-series in the field, in the SafetyNet project the method of data collection has been considered as "best practice"

2.1. Hungarian data [%] 100 -80 1995. 995 60 2000. 2000. 1992 40 1992. 1995. 20 2000. 1992. 0 Front Seats **Rear Seats** Total Safety belt wearing rates in Hungary Fig. 1.



2.1. Hungarian data /3



Fig. 3. Safety belt wearing rates inside built-up areas (in Budapest)

2.2. International comparison



Fig. 4. International comparison of safety belt wearing rates in front seats of passenger cars inside built-up areas (Source: IRTAD)

2.2. International comparison /2



Fig. 5. International comparison of safety belt wearing rates in front seats of passenger cars on rural roads (Source: IRTAD)

2.2. International comparison /3



Fig. 6. International comparison of safety belt wearing rates in front seats of passenger cars on motorways (Source: IRTAD)

3. Safety of children vehicleoccupants



Fig. 7. Usage rate of child safety devices in Hungary

⁴th IRTAD Conference, Seoul, 16-17 September 2009

3. Safety of children vehicleoccupants /2

Year	Killed	Seriously injured	Slightly injured	All casualties
2000	13	128	641	782
2001	15	114	837	966
2002	17	124	843	984
2003	15	156	907	1078
2004	22	142	988	1152
2005	19	135	1044	1198
2006	23	134	1033	1190
2007	18	118	1063	1199
2008	13	104	915	1032

Table 1: Number of killed and injured child (0-14 years) car-occupants

According to Elvik and Vaa [1], the effect of safety belt on the fatality and injury risk of passenger car drivers is the following:

risk of fatal injury: - 50% risk of serious injury: - 45% risk of slight injury: - 25%

[1] Elvik, R.; Vaa, T.: The handbook of road safety measures, Elsevier, 2004

For the front seat passengers the values are as follows [1]:

risk of fatal injury: - 45% risk of serious injury: - 45% risk of slight injury: - 20%

For back seat passengers [1]:

risk of fatal injury: - 25% risk of serious injury: - 25% risk of slight injury: - 20%

In Hungary, last year 146 drivers sustained fatal, 511 serious and 1096 slight injuries without wearing safety belt. In case of 100% wearing rate

146	x 0,5 =	73	fatal
511	x 0,45 =	230	serious
1096	x 0,25 =	274	slight

injuries could have been prevented.

Similar calculation for the front seat passengers.

59 x 0,45 =	27	fatal
$203 \times 0,45 =$	91	serious
$526 \times 0,20 =$	105	slight

injuries could have been prevented.

In the back seats:

55 x 0,25	=	14	fatal
266 x 0,25	=	67	serious
622 x 0,20	=	124	slight

injuries could have been avoided.

Summarized the above calculations, in case of 100% safety belt wearing rate

114 fatal388 serious503 slight

injuries could have been avoided.

Taking into account that 100% wearing rate is unreal – especially in Hungary -, 95% could be taken as a realistic target.

In case of 95% safety belt wearing rate

108 fatal369 serious478 slight

injuries could have been prevented

5. Daytime Running Lights (DRL)



Fig. 8. DRL usage rates in Hungary according to road categories

- Hungary has reliable performance indicators in the field of safety belt wearing, the usage of child restraint systems and DRL.
- The trend of safety belt wearing is the same in case of all road types and seat positions:

from 1992-93 to 1999: decreasing from 2000 until now: increasing

- Based on the performance indicators, the road safety activity of the last years could be rated as successful.

The increasing safety belt wearing rate is the result of:

- further improved demerit point system,
- awareness campaigns,
- intensified and targeted police enforcement,
- more serious sanctions

In spite of this, there are further safety potentials in this field.

According to the estimations based on results of meta-analysis (Elvik & Vaa):

108 fatal369 serious478 slight

injuries could have been avoided in case of 95% wearing rate of safety belts.

The usage rate of child restraint systems shows also significant improvement.

The rate of unprotected children

was 65% in 1994 and 28% in 2009

This is the result of updated legislation, the more effective awareness campaigns and police enforcement.

In spite of this, almost every third child is travelling unprotected, which cannot be allowed.

- The rate of DRL users shows a continuously increasing trend
- The introduction and widespread usage of other performance indicators detecting the behavioural characteristics in the field of legislation regarding **speed**, **drinking and driving**, etc. would be very important in the near future.

