





Life-cycle Assessment of Passenger Transport: An Indian Case Study

Authors: Ravi Gadepalli, Gerald Ollivier, Malithi Fernando, Vatsalya Sohu

Supported by:





Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action



on the basis of a decision by the German Bundestag











ITF Transport Life-cycle Assessment Tool for India



- Manufacturing, including the assembly and disposal of the vehicle and battery
- Transport of the vehicles
- Use of the vehicles, including energy production
- Operational services needed by specific vehicles
- Infrastructure construction and maintenance





Scope

• The tool focuses on vehicle level analysis and doesn't consider economy-wide mode-share scenarios

Passenger transport	Vehicle technologies	Fuels	Life-cycle phases
 Private and shared transport modes (cars including taxis and ride-hailing services, such as Uber or Ola and two-wheelers) Public transport modes (three- wheelers, buses and metro-rail systems) 	 Internal combustion engines (ICE) Battery electric vehicle (BEV) Fuel cell electric vehicle (FCEV) 	 Diesel Petrol Compressed natural gas (CNG) Blue hydrogen (CNG based) Green hydrogen (100% renewable energy based) 	 Vehicle and battery manufacturing Transporting the vehicle to the point of sale Vehicle usage Related infrastructure





Energy scenarios

Intended Policy Scenario (IPS)	 The transition of the electricity grid to clean energy based on previously announced policies (COP21, 2015). 	
Net Zero	 An accelerated energy transition to meet Net Zero targets, as proposed by the government of India (COP26, 2021). 	
100% Renewable Energy (RE) for buses	 The buses being powered entirely by RE. 	

Additional Scenarios

A scenario for battery electric intercity buses powered by 100% renewable energy, comparing them with hydrogen-powered intercity buses.

A constant scenario which assumes the current energy mix to remain the same in the future.











LCA analysis: Process flow Default inputs on fuel, vehicle, infra LCA Tool and energy values The lifecycle emissions are the sum of GHG emissions from four key phases of the vehicle. Car/ 2Wh/ 3Wh/Bus Select vehicle Private/ Commercial type Vehicle and battery manufacturing Transporting the vehicle to the point of sale Vehicle usage Choose vehicle • Petrol/ Diesel/ CNG/ EV/ Hydrogen technology Operational services and Infrastructure • India specific assumptions for each of these phases were derived • Intended Policy Scenario through a combination of stakeholder consultations, secondary Select energy Net-Zero literature and the GREET model • 100% renewable energy for buses scenario Hydrogen for intercity buses LCA tool now has default input values for the most popular · Life of vehicle, Annual mileage modes and their vehicle models in India/ South Asia fuel/ energy efficiency Vehicle & activity inputs • Vehicle weight, material mix, battery type and capacity • Users need to choose the vehicle type, technology, energy scenario and activity details based on context for analysis LCA per vehicle, vehicle-km, pax-km





Lifecycle GHG emissions for Cars

- BEV personal cars will have lower lifecycle GHG ٠ emissions compared to ICE (petrol) cars by ~12.1- \sim 13.7 tCO₂e across energy-mix scenarios
- Shared cars used as taxis/ ride-hailing served have • significantly higher savings of ~51-68 tCO₂e across energy-mix scenarios
- Lifecycle GHG emissions of taxis/ ride-hailing are 3 times • of personal cars for ICE variants but only ~2.2 times for BEVs as BEVs are more energy efficient

BEV-Intended ICE **BEV-Net Zero Policy Scenario** 143.9 150 emissions (tCO₂e) 100 71.8 66.8 Life-cycle GHG 44.1 50 32.0 30.4 Private car Taxi/ride-hailing car (Petrol) (Diesel)

Life-cycle GHG emissions for cars





Lifecycle GHG emissions of 2-wheelers: Scooters, Motorcycles

- ICE (petrol) motorcycles have better performance than scooters due to better energy efficiency whereas for BEVs, scooters are more efficient due to smaller batteries and lesser manufacturing related emissions
- Transitioning personal scooters and motorcycles from petrol to electric technology can reduce their lifecycle GHG emissions by ~1.1-1.9 tCO₂e across BEV scenarios
- Shared scooters and motorcycles can save between \sim 1.9-3.1 tCO₂e depending on the use-case and energy scenarios







10

Lifecycle GHG emissions for 3-wheelers

- 3Ws deliver substantial benefits through transition to BEVs due to a combination of better energy efficiency and high operated-km per day
- Each diesel 3W transition to electric can save ~ 28.4 $\sim 29.8 \text{ tCO}_2 \text{e}$ of GHG savings across energy scenarios
- CNG to electric transition 3Ws would deliver ~14.9 to ~26.2 tCO2e savings per vehicle
- Even the per vkm and per pkm emissions would reduce by 33-57% depending upon the energy mix scenario







Lifecycle GHG emissions for urban buses

- Electric AC buses offer ~400 to ~535 tCO₂e lower GHG emissions compared to diesel buses across bus types (9m, 12m) and ~200 to ~500 tCO₂e savings compared to CNG
- Electric Non-AC buses save anywhere between \sim 70 to \sim 200 tCO₂e emissions as the energy efficiency difference between ICE and electric buses is much lower
- In case cities procure Renewable Energy (RE) for bus operations, the savings can be between ~400 tCO₂e and ~1,100 tCO₂e across bus types and energy scenarios







Lifecycle GHG emissions for intercity buses

- LCA of Intercity buses of 12m AC variety were analysed for GHG impact transition to Battery Electric Vehicles (BEV) as well as Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles (FCEV). FCEV buses are analysed for two sources of Hydrogen: Blue hydrogen (CNG based) and Green hydrogen (100% renewable electricity (RE))
- E-bus deployment along intercity routes can reduce lifecycle emissions by ~935 to ~1,100 tCO₂e, i.e. ~45-50% lower life-cycle GHG emissions compared to diesel/ CNG buses
- Blue hydrogen based buses can save only \sim 324 to \sim 388 tCO₂e which is lower than urban bus savings. Green hydrogen can deliver up to \sim 1,900 tCO₂e but has higher emissions than 100% RE due to electrolysis linked emissions



Lifecycle GHG emissions of intercity buses (tCO2e)





Lifecycle GHG emissions for metro rail systems

- Metro rail systems can potentially deliver savings of ~11,600 tCO₂e over their 50 year lifecycle assuming average demand of 6,000 peak hour per direction trips (phpdt)
- However, they also have embedded emissions of $\sim 10,900$ tCO₂e per one-way track
- Metro rail systems with low ridership can have high emissions per pkm due to the embodied emissions caused by the infrastructure and the vehicle itself
- If demand reaches 15,000 phpdt the embedded emissions per passenger-km are lower than buses



Life-cycle GHG emissions for a six-car metro train





Phase-wise share of GHG emissions

- The share of the infrastructure phase within the life-cycle emissions is the highest for 2Ws (~25%), followed by metro rail systems (~22%), private cars (~17%), 3Ws (~16%) and buses (~6%)
- Manufacturing phase emissions are higher for private vehicles due to their limited lifecycle vehicle-kms







Air-quality impact of vehicle technology choices

- BEVs have a net reduction in PM_{2.5} emissions across vehicle types and fuel technologies because exposure to PM_{2.5} from coal power plants is ten times lower than from vehicles
- Electrification of three-wheelers have the maximum net air-pollution reduction impact, followed by two-wheelers, cars and buses

Life-cycle PM2.5 emissions (adjusted for toxicity implication of BEVs)

Vehicle and fuel mix	Internal combustion engine (kgPM2.5)	Battery electric vehicles – Intended Policy Scenario (kgPM2.5)	Battery electric vehicles – Intended Policy Scenario (kgPM2.5 adjusted for toxicity)	% Particulate Matter reduction due to transition to Battery Electric Vehicles
Private car – Petrol	0.61	3.2	0.32	-48%
Shared car – Diesel	1.60	9.8	0.98	-39%
Private scooter – Petrol	0.22	0.3	0.03	-88%
Shared scooter – ICE	0.36	0.5	0.05	-87%
Private Motorcycle – ICE	0.22	0.3	0.03	-88%
Shared Motorcycle – ICE	0.36	0.5	0.05	-87%
Three-wheeler – Diesel	7.00	3.4	0.34	-95%
12m AC Diesel	16.80	152.1	15.21	-9%
12m Non-AC Diesel	16.80	128.7	12.87	-23%
9m AC Diesel	14.40	110.3	11.03	-23%
9m Non-AC diesel	14.40	90.3	9.03	-37%
12m AC Intercity-Diesel	29.40	225.2	22.52	-23%





Mode-wise GHG emissions per passenger-km

- Metro rail systems have the least GHG emissions per-km, assuming a minimum demand of 6,000 phpdt
- Within electric vehicles, e-buses have the least emissions per pax-km, i.e., at least ~24% lower the e-2Ws and e-3Ws, 71% lower than private cars, and 79% lower than shared cars
- Emissions per passenger-km are for ride-hailing cars due to deadheading between trips



Lifecycle GHG emissions per passenger-km (gm CO2e/km)





Urban mobility policy choices based on LCA results

- Mode-shift analysis is caried out assuming a 20:80 ratio of Car: 2W usage among private vehicles
- The maximum reduction in GHG emissions in urban passenger transport would be delivered by encouraging users of cars and 2Ws to switch to buses, even ICE buses, as this is likely to deliver a GHG reduction of ~1,300 tCO2e per bus over its 12 year life
- The technology transition from diesel to electric in the IPS scenario will deliver ~460 tCO2e GHG emission savings over the life of the bus
- Powering these buses by 100% renewable energy (RE) will deliver a further reduction of ~680 tCO2e in GHG emissions over the life of the bus
- Cities pursuing a combination of (a) mode shift to buses and (b) electrification of buses (powered by 100% renewable energy) can potentially generate a total of ~2,450 tCO2e in GHG savings during the life of a bus.

Two-wheelers Cars 3000 2 620t 2500 Life-cycle GHG emissions (tC02e) 925 316t 2000 1500 зt 46 1000 1 6 9 5 1 3 0 3 ŝ 500 841 163 Bus (Battery Bus Cars and Bus two-wheelers electric -(100% RE) (Diesel)

Life-cycle GHG emissions for urban buses (tCO2e)





Recommendations

Initiate a modal shift from private vehicles to buses and prioritise their electrification	Promote electric two- and three- wheelers	Encourage a shift in the car fleet towards shared electric vehicles
Choose corridors with high passenger demand for new metro lines	Accelerate the transition to battery electric vehicles and complement it with the provision of cleaner energy	Mainstream lifecycle assessment into public policy and investment decisions







Thank you

Link to LCA tool and report: <u>https://www.itf-oecd.org/itf-</u> <u>transport-life-cycle-assessment-india</u>

